

Present: Remote: Edgars, Juri, Miroslav

Local: Ursula, DG, Usman, Emir, Reimer, Irina, Sean-Francis, Ayman

Hilal, Oles, Hadi, David, Nuno, Keith, Christof, Christopher, Feyza,  
Nilly, Olga, Sergii, Roberto, David OC, Derek, Scott, Alexandre,  
Cosmin, Eric.

09:45 Agenda: DPG, RPS → Scott.

Notes: David OC, Cosmin, (Monky).

10<sup>00</sup> RT issue above

10<sup>13</sup> Eric AF Grid PTP notes

10<sup>30</sup> Derek, TPG, PMA Updates → Panama meeting will be along the week 35 (end Aug).

David Brazil CP in next 1.6g distribution by end of May.

Classic h.4 approved → [Global accept now].

10<sup>48</sup> David/Jur. RPS needs to be more proactive (prodding members etc) needs a co-chair.

↳ Co-chair. to run: - challenge to RTF on encrypted mail list (1x per quarter).

- 2x per year response of CP's.

(AG) Nilly volunteers as co-chair for RTF.

11<sup>00</sup> - 11<sup>20</sup> Coffee.

Christof. Hellesgrid CP feedback.

see presentation for issues → fixed in CP/CPS repo and this week CP/CPS.

Resource issues are going to be fixed this week, archival issue: later.

Christof. See AG10 with all CP.

There will be a new CP cent for Hellesgrid in 2012 (early '13) due to Africa demand or catch up.

(AG) Review: Edgars, David for both.

11<sup>55</sup> Eric. RP migrations.

separate RPS idea from org membership discussion

RP "incarnation" may exploit OMR, form PTP into coordinating body, or with RP's that don't belong to a CP? RP scope is smaller.

RP's ultimately decide org usage.

RP's with an RPS can migrate easily, but RPS will be strong CP's.

(AG) members in one hierarchy that will not be shared between RPs.

(2)

There may be other policy formats than SAML.

But if the AA does have this you can move amongst OP's.

(FB)

(and in the morning) The after lunch → Eric issue for NZ.

13<sup>th</sup>

Lunch 11:30

accelerating curve of stronger → tool available.

- CRL DS attack catalog revocation.

-

attacks include: impersonation, but also changing validation - attacks  
blackmail against OP.

| OCSP response in  
TLS session. Milan.

- PRACE → no shw concerns (Globus Unicore).

Asked? only org. level, for Unicore Unicore's power.

What to test? CRLs, server+client certs with SHA-2 (for all services)  
and all variants? 256 or 512.

NIST does not say say which SHA-2 version...

we? should at least 256 and 512. m/s needs to pursue all.

We should maintain the same level. In Unicore, born was placed on using SHA-2.

(ACI)

SHD-2 certification: use SHA-2 for testing 256+512.

# rekey is required? (NIST reason): issuing CA that signed SHA-1 and re-use it.

that the attacker can sign SHA-2 objects using SHA-1 3rd of keys?

but this is again breaking RSA.

multiple old certs should also help - since we use CRLs unlike the rest. -)

(ACI)

CA's should test if their s/w can change to SHA-2 now? themselves... ;)

10.3

⑨<sup>3</sup> Call on mailing list for those who would definitely go to either Pba Debiti or to Florence.

Dates: 10-12 Sept 2012 (Lyons); 14-16 Jun 2013 (TBW); 12-15 May 2013 (Mys); 9-11 Sept 2013 (Bucharest).

⑨<sup>4</sup> David. <live editing>

⑩ Eric RD migration / multi-CA ops [Florin's slides]

RD's should keep document to be able to migrate.

namespaces will be clipped.

has to associate new subject to existing existing obj.

Best scenario: CA1 will fumigate but allow for normal auth, and they manage to move to CA2 and re-use all stuff including the RMs. CA1 will only do CAs?

-then why not re-use the hierarchy and transfer keys of CA1?

-encrypted data is also an issue - same for renewal.

or re-issue based on existing cert, authenticating with cert of CA2.

↳

Revocation stage also be CA2.

\* PTA has to keep auditing data

\* CA2 has to audit doc. evidence of PTA for compliance.

\* CA2 will issue in new namespace.

\* CA2 will stay in basic operation, to allow its users to authenticate to CA2.

\* authentication of users @ CA2 links new requests to existing documentary evidence of PTA.

Result 1300 EW: off op review next 3 weeks by Usman + Feyza. Rec. by email

(OU). thereafter, → distr. by end of June

8

Piotr: revocation of the certs will be needed. Piotr is writing the CR/PS.

but implementation is not ready yet anyway

to prevent renewal by end-users, the service should not be require authN through IdP

(4)

- Now will know that the MPN holds the keys, and it will be a new CP.
- Can this 'central mgmt' CP instance be stored across countries as a nice central service.
- Plan testing invited by Roberto (controlled please:-) ↓ 'TOS' like business model.

David CC

13<sup>th</sup>

Grid-Inland Update.

relets as presented on 08. David CC to send new est/est in the next few weeks. The content will be accepted.

Scott 14<sup>th</sup> Revoc. enh. PIA.

15<sup>th</sup> Sans PLCPNP. / Scapbox

(A) draft Ver1 on new wiki to be written by Sans (BEFORE OGFS), where it will be discussed.

16<sup>th</sup> Sans Scapbox

Wed. 09<sup>th</sup> Scott <see presentation>

17<sup>th</sup> v6: CDP v6: host on v6 + PIAPI record.

(M) - preferably before 1 oct 2012, running monthly before, weekly after until v6 endpoint is deployed.

⇒ ALL OA's to provide IPv6 endpoint for CRL + PIAPI record by 1 oct 2012.

lunch 11<sup>th</sup> - B

OCS P 13<sup>th</sup>

- use lightweight ocs? with pre-signed responses cached in v6 servers.
- is HTTP ready? It might already be implied or simple confirmation.
- publish endpoint in AIA.
- ocs? daemon is there → OpenCP world if non-threaded with HTTP. NonHTTP is ok. If - does server-side support styling? → say must EG5 be fixed.
- http+lightweight should be doable. (forall except Host/la NSS) → works for CDN's.
- OAS Forum will write two whitepapers: one on server, one on client.
- for heavyweight ocs need to issue signing cert → update CP/CCS.
- lightweight ocs → can be signed by issuing CP or Root.

(C) OGCSP (contd). If OGCSP response is signed by issuing CA the response can be a lot shorter.

Preference: - produce documentation and refer to CAA forum doc.

- start implementation for all existing IGTTF CRSS → deploy OGCSP.

(AC)

- include AIA by 1 Jan 2013

- from then on, AIA in client cert can be used, will still take 100 days.

- there should be controls around the responder server, and it should be very controlled. OGCSP 'heavyweight' signing and should be 'short lived'. This is highly preferred on TSP, or well secured.

- precomputed is a lot better. → off-line wallet? → precompute future responses in case of off-line CA.

- You may need to update your CP/CAs for the signer cert for OGCSP.

What kind of orders? Unknown = bad is a client-side decision. See CAA forum.

(S) SMD1 Dec → Soles gets input from PRACE-RI & Unimore. → RP involvement.

a KTF needs capability now anyway. → report by Oct 1<sup>st</sup> (certs+CP).

- live in the board on Nov 1<sup>st</sup> 2013. (once m/f fails in due → see DMT dec)

- other dec → explanation to users.

- post Dec 1<sup>st</sup> 2013 users should be able to get SMD-2 from all by default to allow 'good' users to migrate in orderly fashion.

- for SMD-1 after 2013-01-01 → shorten cert lifetime? :-)

- final date will then remain mid-2014.

some CAs may do this, but not all.

Test CA: OpenID from NCSC. for testing, is in IGTTF exp. chart.

EUGridPMA25

(6)

DIOPS NP Done. <finalize document>

The discussion on see b. coninued.

C

GFDLST new version on agenda page.

C

C

C